

## Einstein's mistake


From: Prof. C. K. Raju (ckr@ckraju.net)

To: dialogue@janvikalp.org

Date: Tuesday, May 2, 2023 at 05:46 AM GMT+5:30

You might be interested in this video which releases today 2 May 2023 at 7 pm

[Einstein's mistake](#) (and its correction) [Einstein's mistake](#)



It puts together an old video scattered in 3 parts from the time of the TGA award in 2010, when YouTube only permitted videos 5 min long. Subtitles are added due to inadequate audio.

Key point: on my epistemic test, a mistake shows lack of understanding and is proof of cheating (especially in a situation like Einstein's where plagiarism is suspected even on other grounds).

What is interesting is the reluctance of the Western scientific community to accept the scientific error, since it involves the fall of their icons. The West is well aware of the political (and economic) advantages of glorifying the West and belittling the non-West using false **stories** of glorious achievements in science.

Therefore, when [Michael Atiyah, President of the Royal Society, plagiarised my correction to Einstein, during his Einstein centenary lecture in 2005](#), he disconnected the correction from the historical thesis of Einstein's mistake. **Science clearly is not about truth, but only about truth spoken in a way politically acceptable to the West.** Plagiarism may not be the best form of flattery, but this was Western endorsement of my correction to Einstein. It is necessary to reiterate it since many among the colonised equate Western endorsement with scientific truth.

Equally amusing is the other reaction of the colonised who fanatically believe in Western supremacy ([a mutation during colonialism from earlier beliefs in Christian supremacy and White supremacy](#)). But they are Bantuized, hence (1) mostly ignorant of the science, and (2) deeply loyal to the colonial master, hence have sworn **never** to cross-check the facts of the history of science (since such cross-checking, or even expressing scepticism, is an act of disloyalty to the colonial master).

Hence, they react like top Western scholars react to my anti-Western heresy (in what I have called an Inquisitorial and racist way) by barking abuses at me, because abuses establish domination, and hence are the highest form of scientific proof! For example, Peter deSouza, former director of the IAS, worked himself up into an Inquisitorial Christian fervour and called me “crazy” (in a newspaper article published in Hindu) for asserting that Einstein failed to understand relativity. No need for **him** to understand relativity or its erroneous understanding: abuse is the highest form of scientific proof! (See my article on [Christianization vs saffronisation of education](#).)


**M**

### Christianization vs Saffronisation of education

C K Raju

Background to my detailed response Christianization vs saffronisation of education to Peter deSouza's article in...

Many just go by the argument: "so many people believe this: they cannot all be wrong can they?" That old argument that can be and [has been used to defend many superstitions](#).

Interestingly, in 2007 Atiyah tried to whitewash his plagiarism of my work by getting published [a belated acknowledgment to the similarities of my prior published works with his Einstein centenary lecture](#). At about the same time, my new book, *Cultural Foundations of Mathematics...the transmission of the calculus from India to Europe in the 16<sup>th</sup> c. CE* (Pearson Longman, 2007) was published. It asserted that [Newton stole the calculus and hence failed to understand it](#).

This thesis (that calculus was stolen from India by Cochin based Jesuits) was promptly plagiarised by [two habitual plagiarists Dennis Almeida and George Gheverghese Joseph](#). However, their claim was publicised by Manchester University in a fake-news press release which still stands though the paper it mentioned could not be published in 16 years since it **verbatim plagiarised** a paper submitted to a conference organized by Joseph in Trivandrum in 2000. (I could not attend the conference since I was at a parallel [conference in Hawai'i where too I spoke about the](#) real history of calculus.)

This press-release from Manchester university, though it was fake news, received front-page publicity in all major Indian newspapers, on the principle that science is about **who** says it, not what is said: if it is from the West it is true, else it is false. Only one newspaper, [Hindustan Times, published a small retraction](#). Manchester university still maintains that fake news with a passing reference to my work to justify verbatim plagiarism.

If this is what can happen today, imagine how much false history of science was built during the Crusades, the Inquisition, racism, and colonialism! **But the colonised never understood the church strategy of giving the coloized false gods of science to worship.**

The point is that such brazen and repeated acts of plagiarism (resulting in false history) are possible because of active support from our colonized academics who worship false gods of science, and attack any radical thesis originating from the non-West to **enable** the West to steal and “sanitize” it to maintain its superior

pose of originating all science.

CKR

-----  
C. K. Raju, PhD (ISI), TGA Laureate  
Honorary Professor, Indian Institute of Education  
(Ex-Tagore Fellow, Indian Institute of Advanced Study)  
[Web](#), [Writings and talks](#), [Press](#), [Videos](#)  
Twitter: [@CKRaju14](#)  
[youtube.com/@DrCKR](#)

### Book previews

[Time: Towards a Consistent Theory](#)

[The Eleven Pictures of Time](#)

[Cultural Foundations of Mathematics](#)

[Euclid and Jesus](#) (French trans.)

[Is Science Western in Origin?](#) (French trans.)

[Refutation of the Aryan Race Conjecture](#)

Links in the message (1)

**"Euclid" must fall: The  
"Pythagorean" "...**

