

Teaching calculus as ganita

C. K. Raju

Indian Institute of Education

G. D. Parikh Centre, J. P. Naik Bhavan

University of Mumbai, Kalina Campus, Santacruz (E), Mumbai 400098

c.k.raju@ganita.guru

Extended abstract

Since my 2000 keynote at Hawaii U, the related article,¹ my 2007 book,² the related encyclopedia articles,³ various talks including at MIT, Cambridge, Mass,⁴ I have been pointing out the Indian origin of calculus, and its brazen intellectual theft by Europeans. The further developments/clarifications of last 25 years are summarised in these two talks⁵ (related tweets [1](#), [2](#)) at the 9th Pacific Rim Conference on Math, in Darwin, Australia, in June last year.

I have also **taught** calculus differently,⁶ since calculus as it originated in India \neq calculus as taught today in IITs. The original is BETTER and far EASIER. Teaching the original Indian understanding of calculus as ganita enables students to solve HARDER problems NOT covered in usual college or IIT calculus courses.⁷ Simple examples included as tutorials in the calculus as ganita course, are non-elementary elliptic functions needed for the solution of the simplest problem of Newtonian physics, the simple pendulum,⁸ or the problem of ballistics with resistance, or brachistochrone with resistance⁹ etc. This course has been successfully demonstrated in 5 universities in 3 countries, including two Muslim countries, Malaysia and Iran.

More difficult problems, insoluble with the current calculus (or even the theory of Schwartz distributions¹⁰), are explained in my book,¹¹ but not included in the course. One such question is how to understand the nonlinear partial differential equations of physics, such as the Navier Stokes equations, at a shock wave, or discontinuity in real fluids with viscosity and thermal conductivity, which equations cannot be reduced to a quasi-linear “conservation form”. I have derived new junction conditions¹² for relativistic shocks earlier using non-standard analysis,¹³ later similar conditions with ganita.

To quickly recapitulate, calculus originated in India in the 5th c., with the work of the LOWER caste¹⁴ Aryabhata¹⁵ who used finite differences and a recursive numerical method¹⁶ (today falsely called Euler's method) to solve differential equations, to derive sine values precise to the first sexagesimal minute (about 5 decimal places). Note that numerically solving differential equations is at the heart of all calculus applications today, such as sending a man to the moon, **not** formulae for derivatives and integrals of **elementary** functions as are commonly taught, nor even infinite series.¹⁷

No one used the term Indian “calculus” before my 1998 INSA project.¹⁸ Further, **all** other authors on Indian calculus have still missed out the key next step of calculus as ganita (which also separates it from numerical analysis). This key step was by Brahmagupta¹⁹ (7th c.): who introduced अव्यक्त गणित (or polynomial arithmetic). Polynomial arithmetic is naturally non-Archimedean,²⁰ unlike axiomatic “real” numbers which are the largest Archimedean ordered field. The important point: non-Archimedean arithmetic has infinities and infinitesimals, hence limits in the sense of elementary real analysis²¹ are impossible. Therefore, this course was earlier (since 2009)²² called “Calculus without limits”,²³ to point out the fundamental difference from current calculus and analysis courses based on limits. However, very few people have a good enough grasp of real analysis to have understood this in the last 15 years. Infinities and infinitesimals arise also in Robinson’s non-standard analysis,²⁴ which makes calculus easy except for the very first step of learning non-standard analysis which is extraordinarily difficult, too hard²⁵ even for most graduate students in axiomatic mathematics. In contrast, polynomial arithmetic is middle school stuff. This is to be combined with zeroism,²⁶ earlier called śūnyavāda.

Before calculus backward Europeans learnt even efficient **primary-school** arithmetic and place-value (“Arabic numerals”) from India, beginning with 10th c. Gerbert²⁷ and the 13th c. Fibonacci.²⁸ Europeans then were stuck with inefficient arithmetic with “Roman numerals” which early Greeks learnt from their Persian conquerors to pay them tax. It took another 500 years of intellectual struggle (“first math war”)²⁹ between the Graeco-Roman abacus, and Indian “algorismus”, for Europeans to assimilate Indian primary-school arithmetic which they really began to grasp only in the 16th century **after** Vasco da Gama’s arrival and extensive contact with India, as depicted in Gregor Riesz *Margarita Philosophica*.³⁰ But some prominent European mathematicians remained bewildered even about arithmetic until the 20th century.

Thus, the algorismus, i.e., al Khwarizmi's limited understanding of Indian arithmetic, lacked negative numbers, hence so did Fibonacci.³¹ Hence, also Leonhard Euler³² blundered about negative numbers foolishly writing that $-1 > \infty$. Many others matched his foolishness, including Augustus de Morgan³³ who was foolishly asserting in the 19th c. that $-9 < 0$ is impossible since "something cannot be less than nothing". He got the East India Company to finance the publication of an extraordinarily foolish book³⁴ which obtained maxima and minima without using negative numbers! When even such prominent European mathematicians failed to understand basic ordering among integers how could they have understood ordering among polynomials? However, our whole colonial or पादरीवादी education system is founded on the fanatical 19th c. Macaulie that despite evidence of such "immeasurable stupidity", of the West, which failed to properly understand even Indian primary school arithmetic, till the 19^h c., the West was nevertheless somehow "immeasurably superior" in mathematics and science!

However, those who go by facts and evidence are bound to ask: if Europeans had so much difficulty in understanding Indian primary school arithmetic, how could they have *understood* the Indian calculus, even after stealing it and claiming its ownership on the vile dogma of "Christian discovery"³⁵? Indeed, the West has not understood the Indian calculus to this day. What part of calculus did Newton and Leibniz, its Christian discoverers,³⁶ not understand? The part about infinities and infinitesimals (or Indian "non-Archimedean" arithmetic): (1) how to sum an infinite series, as Nilakanṭha did³⁷ and (2) the meaning of Newton's excessively confused "infinitesimal" fluxions. Many people such as Berkeley,³⁸ Marx,³⁹ and Dedekind⁴⁰ pointed out Newton's conceptual confusion regarding calculus.

Dedekind's invention of real numbers admitted two key points. 1. That the prevailing understanding of calculus in the late 19th century was completely unacceptable even to Europeans struggling with negative numbers. 2. **That there are no axiomatic proofs in the "Euclid" book,**⁴¹ not even in its first proposition.

It is difficult to understand why such a BIG and obvious lie ("the Euclid book gave axiomatic proofs") is essential to the foundations of axiomatic mathematics. Why must this lie be still taught compulsorily to schoolchildren that the "Euclid" book has (or intended) some axiomatic proofs when it has none? None were intended, for the axiomatisation of the book by Hilbert⁴² or Birkhoff⁴³ fundamentally altered it. Obviously, Indian math educators have no conscience whatsoever (or no knowledge whatsoever or both).

Why continue with this lie as in use of axiomatic real numbers? The simple answer is that if the absence of axiomatic proofs in the "Euclid" book is admitted people will naturally look for the actual source of axiomatic proof, which is in the church and its Crusading theology of reason⁴⁴ (falsely read into the "Euclid" book to give it a fake Greek ancestry). The church obviously benefited from the key aspect of axiomatic proof which is not the use of reason, or rigour, as advertised, but the **prohibition of the empirical**.⁴⁵ The axiomatic method allowed the church padres to control theology, and thereby tell people what their god wanted, so as to make them behave in a way that suited the political interests of the padres. Likewise, the axiomatic method in mathematics allows Western mathematicians to control the **content** of mathematics, and thereby control the more metaphysical aspects of science dependent on that math.⁴⁶

The other question is why axiomatic proof is regarded as "superior" to scientific proof which accepts reason but also the empirical, like Indian ganita. The sole justification for this belief is Christian superstition,⁴⁷ as in White supremacy.⁴⁸ Axiomatic mathematicians have been shamelessly running away from a debate on this question for the last decade.⁴⁹ The half-truth offered is that the empirical is fallible; but a complex deductive task is far **more** fallible⁵⁰ as demonstrated by the fact that students so easily flunk in mathematics, and that every human being without fail loses to a computer in deductive chess.

Dodging such a debate on the purported epistemic or practical value of church-origin axiomatic proof is not only a sign of extreme dishonesty of axiomatic mathematicians (most university mathematicians), it is damaging to the national interest. The ease of Indian calculus makes it of practical value to all others. Chinese could use it, they are not scared like Indians of the West. Blacks can do it they are not all so scared of Whites. Key point: knowledge is not our personal property, if we don't use it, others will and will get ahead of us and we will just be left proudly lamenting "we did it first" (but were too frightened and lacked confidence even to **try** it today!).

- 1 C. K. Raju, 'Computers, Mathematics Education, and the Alternative Epistemology of the Calculus in the YuktiBhâsâ', *Philosophy East and West* 51, no. 3 (2001): 325–62, <https://muse.jhu.edu/article/26555/pdf>.
- 2 C. K. Raju, *Cultural Foundations of Mathematics: The Nature of Mathematical Proof and the Transmission of Calculus from India to Europe in the 16th c, CE* (Pearson Longman, 2007).
- 3 C. K. Raju, 'Calculus', in *Encyclopedia of Non-Western Science, Technology and Medicine* (Springer, 2016), 1010–15, <http://ckraju.net/papers/Springer/ckr-Springer-encyclopedia-calculus-1-final.pdf>; C. K. Raju, 'Calculus Transmission', in *Encyclopedia of Non-Western Science, Technology, and Medicine* (Springer, 2016), 1016–22, <http://ckraju.net/papers/Springer/ckr-Springer-encyclopedia-calculus-2-final.pdf>.
- 4 C. K. Raju, 'Calculus: The Real Story', Talk at MIT (Cambridge, Mass., USA, 26 April 2015), <http://ckraju.net/papers/Calculus-story-abstract.html>. <https://youtu.be/IaodCGDjqzs>.
- 5 C. K. Raju, "In defence of decolonisation of mathematics" Invited talk, 9th Pacific Rim Conference on Mathematics, Darwin, Australia, 17 June 2024; abstract: <https://ckraju.net/papers/Australia-prcm-abstract-short.pdf>; detailed abstract: <https://bit.ly/ckr->; presentation: <http://ckraju.net/papers/presentations/ckr-australia-calculus-talk.html>; and "Rajju ganita (string geometry) vs "Euclidean" geometry", contributed talk, abstract: <https://ckraju.net/papers/prcm-contributed-talk-short-abstract.pdf>; detailed abstract: <https://ckraju.net/papers/abstract-2-prcm-australia.pdf>; presentation: <http://ckraju.net/papers/presentations/australia-talk-2.html>.
- 6 C. K. Raju, 'Teaching Mathematics with a Different Philosophy. 1: Formal Mathematics as Biased Metaphysics', *Science and Culture* 77, no. 7–8 (2011): 274–79; C. K. Raju, 'Teaching Mathematics with a Different Philosophy. 2: Calculus without Limits', *Science and Culture* 7, no. 7–8 (2011): 280–85. arXiv:1312.2099, arXiv:1312.2100,
- 7 C. K. Raju, 'Decolonising Mathematics', *AlterNation* 25, no. 2 (2018): 12–43b. <https://doi.org/10.29086/2519-5476/2018/v25n2a2>.
- 8 C. K. Raju, 'Time: What Is It That It Can Be Measured?', *Science & Education* 15, no. 6 (2006): 537–51, <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11191-005-5287-z>.
- 9 Archishman Raju, 'A Simple Way to Solve the Brachistochrone Problem with Resistance', *Physics Education (India)* 28, no. 3 (September 2012). http://physedu.in/uploads/publication/3/65/Archishman_Brachistochrone13July.pdf.
- 10 C. K. Raju, 'Products and Compositions with the Dirac Delta Function', *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 15 (1982): 381–96.
- 11 Raju, *Cultural Foundations of Mathematics: The Nature of Mathematical Proof and the Transmission of Calculus from India to Europe in the 16th c, CE* Appendix on Renormalization and shocks.
- 12 C. K. Raju, 'Junction Conditions in General Relativity', *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* 15 (1982): 1785–97.
- 13 C. K. Raju, 'Distributional Matter Tensors in Relativity', in *Fifth Marcel Grossman Meeting on General Relativity* (Perth, 1988). arXiv:0804.1998.
- 14 C. K. Raju, 'Aryabhata Dalit: His Philosophy of Ganita and Its Contemporary Applications"', in *Theory and Praxis: Reflections on the Colonization of Knowledge*, ed. Murzban Jal and Jyoti Bawane (Routledge, London, 2020), 139–52, <http://ckraju.net/papers/Aryabhata-philosophy-of-ganita-paper-2r.pdf>.
- 15 Āryabhaṭa, *Āryabhaṭīya of Āryabhaṭa*, ed. K. S. Shukla and K. V. Sarma (Delhi: Indian National Science Academy, 1976).
- 16 Raju, *Cultural Foundations of Mathematics: The Nature of Mathematical Proof and the Transmission of Calculus from India to Europe in the 16th c, CE*.
- 17 Whish, Charles M, 'On the Hindu Quadrature of the Circle and the Infinite Series of the Proportion of the Circumference to the Diameter Exhibited in the Four Shastras, the Tantrasamgraham, Yukti-Bhasa, Carana Padhati and Sadratnamala"', *Trans. R. Asiatic Soc. Gr. Britain and Ireland* 3 (1835): 509–23.
- 18 The project sanction letter is posted online at <https://ckraju.net/Joseph/INSA-project-sanction-letter.gif>/ For the related advertisement and subsequent brazen repeated plagiarism see Raju, *Cultural Foundations of Mathematics: The Nature of Mathematical Proof and the Transmission of Calculus from India to Europe in the 16th c, CE. and the blog "George Joseph: serial plagiarist" etc.* <https://ckraju.net/blog/?s=George+Joseph>
- 19 Brahmagupta, *Brahma Sphuta Siddhanta*, ed. Ram Swarup Sharma (New Delhi: Indian Institute of Astronomical and Sanskrit Research, 1966).
- 20 E. A. Moise, *Elementary Geometry from an Advanced Standpoint* (Addison-Wesley, 1963).
- 21 Walter Rudin, *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*, 3. (New York: McGraw-Hill, 1976).
- 22 For the first edition of the course, at the Central University of Tibetan Studies, Sarnath, see "The 5-day course on Calculus without Limits" <https://ckraju.net/blog/?p=34>.
- 23 Raju, 'Teaching Mathematics with a Different Philosophy. 2: Calculus without Limits'.
- 24 H.J. Keisler, *Elementary Calculus: An Approach Using Infinitesimals* (Boston: Prindle, Weber & Schmidt, 1971).
- 25 K.D. Stroyan and W.A.J. Luxemburg, *Introduction to the Theory of Infinitesimals* (New York: Academic Press, 1976).
- 26 C. K. Raju, 'Zeroism', in *Encyclopedia of Non-Western Science, Technology and Medicine*, ed. Helaine Selin (Springer, 2014).
- 27 N. Bubnov, *Gerberti, Silvesteri II papae, Opera Mathematica (972-1003)* (Berolini: R. Friedlander & Sohn, 1899).
- 28 L.E. Sigler, *Fibonacci's Liber Abaci a Translation into Modern English of Leonardo Pisano's Book of Calculation* (New York NY: Springer, 2002).

- 29 Raju, *Cultural Foundations of Mathematics: The Nature of Mathematical Proof and the Transmission of Calculus from India to Europe in the 16th c, CE*.
- 30 Gregor Reisch, 'Margarita philosophica' (Freiburg, 1503).
- 31 Sigler, *Fibonacci's Liber Abaci a Translation into Modern English of Leonardo Pisano's Book of Calculation*.
- 32 Leonhard Euler, 'De seriebus divergentibus' (Euler Archive - All Works, 1760), <https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/euler-works/247>.
- 33 Augustus de Morgan, *Elements of Algebra: Preliminary to the Differential Calculus*, 2nd ed. (London: Taylor and Walton, 1837).
- 34 Yesudas ("Slave of Jesus") Ramachandra 1821-1880. and Augustus De Morgan 1806-1871., A Treatise on Problems of Maxima and Minima, Solved by Algebra : By Ramchundra. Reprinted by Order of the Honourable Court of Directors of the East-India Co. for Circulation in Europe and in India ... under the Superintendence of Augustus De Morgan, (London: W.H. Allen, 1859), <https://archive.org/details/treatiseonproble00ramauoft>.
- 35 C. K. Raju, 'Marx and Mathematics. 2: "Discovery" of Calculus', *Frontier Weekly*, 31 August 2020, <https://www.frontierweekly.com/views/aug-20/31-8-20-Marx%20and%20mathematics-2.html>.
- 36 C. K. Raju, 'The Meaning of Christian "Discovery"', *Frontier Weekly*, January 2015; C.K. Raju, 'White Supremacy: Its Religious Roots, and What Must Be Done to Eradicate It Today'" (Univ. of Syracuse conference on religious origins of White supremacy, Syracuse, NY, 2023), <http://ckraju.net/papers/ckr-Syracuse%20abstract.pdf>.
- 37 Raju, 'Calculus'.
- 38 Raju, *Cultural Foundations of Mathematics: The Nature of Mathematical Proof and the Transmission of Calculus from India to Europe in the 16th c, CE*; George Berkeley, *The Analyst or a Discourse Addressed to an Infidel Mathematician* (London: J. Tonson, 1734), <http://www.maths.tcd.ie/pub/HistMath/People/Berkeley/Analyst/Analyst.html>.
- 39 C. K. Raju, 'Marx and Mathematics. 4: The Epistemic Test', *Frontier Weekly*, 8 September 2020, <https://www.frontierweekly.com/views/sep-20/8-9-20-Marx%20and%20mathematics-4.html>.
- 40 Richard Dedekind, *Essays on the Theory of Numbers* (New York: Dover Publications, 1963), <https://archive.org/details/essaysontheoryof0000dede>.
- 41 C. K. Raju, "'Euclid" Must Fall: The "Pythagorean" "Theorem" and the Rant of Racist and Civilizational Superiority — Part 1', *Arumarūka: Journal of Conversational Thinking* 1, no. 1 (9 February 2022): 127–56, <https://doi.org/10.4314/ajct.v1i1.6>.
- 42 David Hilbert, *The Foundations of Geometry* (The Open Court Publishing Co., La Salle, 1950), <http://ckraju.net/geometry/Hilbert-Foundations-of-Geometry.pdf>.
- 43 George D Birkhoff, 'A Set of Postulates for Plane Geometry, Based on Scale and Protractor', *Annals of Mathematics* 33 (1932): 329–45.
- 44 Thomas Aquinas, *Summa Theologica*, n.d., <http://www.newadvent.org/summa/1052.htm#article3>.
- 45 *Vimarsh on Practical Gaṇita vs Religious Mathematics by Prof CK Raju, PhD (ISI), TGA Laureate, 2023*, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zTqL9EkENiI>.
- 46 C. K. Raju, 'Distributional Matter Tensors in Relativity', in *Proceedings of the 5th Marcel Grossman Meeting*, ed. D. Blair and M. J. Buckingham (World Scientific, 1989), 421–23, arXiv:0804.1998; C. K. Raju, *The Eleven Pictures of Time: The Physics, Philosophy and Politics of Time Beliefs* (Sage, 2003); C. K. Raju, 'Decolonising Mathematics: How and Why It Makes Science Better (and Enables Students to Solve Harder Problems)', *Palestine Technical University Research Journal* 6, no. 2 (2018): 1–4; C. K. Raju, 'A Singular Nobel?', *Mainstream* 59, no. 7 (30 January 2021), <http://www.mainstreamweekly.net/article10406.html>.
- 47 C. K. Raju, 'गणित बनाम मैथमेटिक्स [Ganita vs Mathematics]', *Himanjali* 20, no. July-December (2020): 34–44.. Vimarsh on Practical Gaṇita vs Religious Mathematics, Vivekanand Foundation, <https://youtu.be/zTqL9EkENiI>
- 48 C. K. Raju, "White supremacy: its religious roots, and what must be done to eradicate it today" paper at Conference on Religious Origins of White Supremacy, U. of Syracuse, 8 Dec 2023. Abstract: <https://ckraju.net/papers/ckr-Syracuse%20abstract.pdf>, final paper (submitted) <https://ckraju.net/papers/Syracuse-ckr-article-v2.pdf>,
- 49 <https://ckraju.net/issa/call-for-participation-issa-special-session.html>
- 50 Raju, 'Decolonising Mathematics'. <https://doi.org/10.29086/2519-5476/2018/v25n2a2>